

Cardiovascular Guidelines In Clinical Practice - A Survey Of Diabetes Health Professionals

<u>V. Stevenson^{1*}</u>, S. Colagiuri², R. Webster³, J. Flack⁴, R. O'Brien⁵, B. Conway⁶

1. Diabetes Education Services. Austin Health, Heidelberg VIC, Australia. 2. Boden Institute of Obesity, Nutrition and Exercise, Sydney University, Sydney, NSW, Australia. 3. The George Institute for International Health, Camperdown, NSW, Australia. 4. Diabetes Centre, Bankstown-Lidcombe Hospital, Bankstown, NSW, Australia. 5. Austin & Northern Clinical Schools, University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia. 6. Diabetes Australia, ACT, Australia.

Introduction

The Australian National Diabetes Collaborative (NDC) was formed in 2004 to focus on understanding and improving the quality of care in the participating centres. Reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease is of key importance as it is the leading cause of death in people with diabetes. While all areas of diabetes care are important to improving outcomes and quality of life, reducing the risk of CVD is of key importance for the following reasons:

• it is the major cause of premature mortality and a major contributor to morbidity and reduced quality of life¹ • there is strong evidence for effective interventions for

Figure 2: Diabetes Collaborative Questionnaire for Diabetes Health Care Professionals

	Contro ID: DC
Use of Cardiovascular Guidelines in Clinical Practice – Diabetes Collaborative Questionnaire for Health Care Professionals	1. Roughly, what percentage of your patients do you think are achieving their targets? Blood Pressure% Lipids% HbA1c %
 Do you follow any current clinical guidelines when managing your Type 2 Diabetes patients' cardiovascular risk factors? (please tick one) Yes No 	 In your opinion, what are the three most common barriers to patients achieving their targets (please tick)?
If yes, which guidelines do you use? NH&MRC American Diabetes Association National Heart Foundation Other (please specify):	 The patient can't afford the medication recommended Patient refuses recommendations Non-compliance of patients with medication Non-compliance of patients with lifestyle advice Too many side effects when medication dosage is increased The patient's GP doesn't follow-up our recommendations
 What targets do you generally use for: a. Blood pressure/ (no proteinuria) or/ (with proteinuria) 	The patient doesn't return for follow-up Other (please specify):
b. Lipid levels: Total chol mmol/L	
HDLmmol/L	3. How would you usually address these barriers (please tick all that apply)?
	□ No specific method □ Compromise on the targets
Trigsmmol/L	Use an incremental approach (e.g. aim for target blood pressure first then address lipids)
c. HbA1c%	Communication with the patient's GP (either by phone or letter) addressing the specific target/s not being reached Rationalisation of patient's medication
3. Do you routinely communicate these targets to your patients? (please tick one)	Intensive education for patient regarding necessity of reaching targets Other (please specify):
☐ Aiways ☐ Sometimes ☐ Never	
4. Does your centre have a common set of targets that everyone uses? (please tick	



Figure 3A: The % of participants who follow current clinical guidelines when managing type 2 Diabetes patients' cardiovascular risk factors

Most Common Targets Used	Participants using Targets
BP target 130/80	62%
BP target 125/75 with proteinuria	24%
Cholesterol target of 4	66%
HDL target of 1	79%

Missing



Figure 3B: The guidelines most commonly used

- reducing CVD risk and preventing CVD^{2,3}
- interventions are readily available, safe, and cost-effective
- implementing guideline recommendations is feasible within available resources^{3,4}
- there are few practical barriers to adopting these interventions

Although effective interventions are available, compelling data support the failure of current clinical practice to implement this evidence and to translate it into better patient outcomes. NDC centres have collaborated to implement an evidencedbased care project in order to reduce the risk of heart disease in those with type 2 diabetes.

Aim

An initial aim of this project was to survey participating health professional staff about diabetes guidelines.

Method

The study design focused on an explanation of this study (Fig.1) to NDC staff and asking staff to anonymously complete a questionnaire. The questionnaire was developed to assess targets used in type 2 diabetes management, communicating these targets to patients, whether each NDC has a set of common targets, common barriers to patients achieving their targets and how could these barriers be addressed (Fig.2). Funding was provided by the National Institute of Clinical Studies.



Results

The 9 participating NDC centres are: Austin Health - Endocrine Centre of Excellence & Diabetes Education Services, VIC

Barwon Health - The Geelong Hospital Diabetes Referral Centre, VIC Mater Health Services - Queensland Diabetes Centre, QLD Monash Medical Centre - Diabetes Centre VIC **Prince of Wales Hospital** - Diabetes Centre, NSW **Royal Hobart Hospital** - Diabetes Centre, TAS **Royal Melbourne** - Department of Diabetes & Endocrinology, VIC The Canberra Hospital - Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology ACT Western Hospital - Department of Endocrinology, VIC.

Participating clinic staff included endocrinologists, diabetes nurse educators and registrars who completed all 7 questions. A total of 93 completed questionnaires were returned to the central project office in NSW for collation and analysis. Feedback on the results was provided to each centre as pooled analysis of responses from all centres and individually to each centre.

The pooled analysis showed that 86% of participants follow clinical guidelines when managing their type 2 Diabetes patients' cardiovascular risk factors and 55% most commonly

	7 5 78
LDL target of 2.5	58%
Triglycerides target of 2	62%
HbA1c target of 7	86%

Table 1: The targets most commonly used

Sometimes



Figure 4: The % of participants who routinely communicate these targets to their patients



Figure 5: The % of NDC participating centres who have a common set of targets that everyone uses



Figure 6: Perceived Number of Patients Achieving Targets

Non-compliance of patients with lifestyle advice	90%
Non-compliance of patients with medication	54%
The patient's GP doesn't follow-up our	34%

Figure 1: Study Design



use the NH&MRC Guidelines (Fig. 3A and 3B). Of the responses, 62% use a target BP of 130/80 mmHg, 24% use a target BP with proteinuria of 125/75 mmHg, 66% use a target cholesterol of 4mmol/L, 79% use a target HDL of 1.0 mmol/L, 58% use a LDL target of 2.5mmol/L, 62% use target triglycerides of 2.0 mmol/L and 86% a HbA1c target of 7% (Table 1).

From the responses, 56% always communicate targets to patients (Fig.4) and 38% of centres have a common set of targets (Fig.5). Participants perceived that the percentage of patients achieving their targets for BP was 28%, lipids 24% and HbA1c 20% (Fig 6).

The most common 3 perceived barriers to patients achieving targets were non-compliance with lifestyle advice, noncompliance with medication and patient's GP not following up advice (Table 2). Suggested ways given to address these barriers included intensive patient education regarding necessity of reaching goals, better communication with a patient's GP, addressing risk factors not at target and using an incremental approach e.g. blood pressure first then address lipids, and rationalisation of patient's medication (Table 3).

recommendations

Table 2: The 3 Most Common Barriers to Patients Achieving their Targets

Intensive education for patient regarding	77%
necessity of reaching targets	
Communication with the patient's GP (either by	74%
phone or letter) addressing the specific target/s	
not being reached	
Use an incremental approach (e.g. aim for target	55%
blood pressure first then address lipids)	
Rationalisation of patient's medication	45%

Table 3: Ways to Address these Barriers

Conclusion

Despite national guidelines, only 38% of participating NDC centres have a common set of targets and just 56% always communicate targets to patients. From this survey, there appears to be no uniform approach to the management of diabetes.

Funding for this project has been provided via a National Institute of Clinical Studies grant as part of the Evidence Uptake Networks in Health Care phase 2 Closing Gaps Scheme.

References

1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2004. Heart, Stroke and Vascular Diseases - Australian Facts 2004. AIHW Cat. No. CVD 27. Canberra: AIHW and National Heart Foundation of Australia (Cardiovascular Disease Series No. 22).

2. Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE, MacLennan G, et al. Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies. Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England) 2004;8(6):iii-iv.

3. University of York. NHS Centres for Reviews and Dissemination. Getting evidence into practice. Effective Health Care 1999.

4. Zwarenstein M, Bryant W. Interventions to promote collaboration between nurses and doctors. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000(2):CD000072.